

Submission received via email to the GPSRR@AEMO.com.au mailbox

Please see the EQL response to consultation questions below.

Number	Consultation Question	Answer
1	Is it appropriate to apply the 2024 Integrated System Plan (ISP) Step Change scenario to assess future power system risks for the 2025 GPSRR?	No concerns.
2	Are there any suggested improvements regarding the risk assessments, considering the approach is based on the 2024 GPSRR?	The approach paper talks about risks arising from minimum system load conditions for South Australia and Victoria, and for the VNI flow from Victoria to NSW, however only notes that this risk will be experienced in NSW and Qld in the 'near future'. Based on the engagement that we are having with AEMO and Powerlink on MSL in Queensland, we understand that this is far more pressing for Queensland than this statement suggests. As each state has different minimum system load conditions, different mitigation maturity and different network performance, it may be more appropriate to split this into jurisdictionally focused risk assessments.
3	What are stakeholder views on how to effectively consider risks where the impact is difficult to define as part of the 2025 GPSRR?	No further comments.
4	What are stakeholder views regarding the priority risks proposed to be considered as part of the 2025 GPSRR, including any proposed changes to the events or the methodology for assessment?	See question 2.
5	What are stakeholder views regarding the proposed modelling approach for the priority risks for assessment in the 2025 GPSRR?	No further comments.

6	What are stakeholder views regarding the proposed risk cost assessment methodology to be applied in the 2025 GPSRR?	No further comments.
7	Does the proposed consultation approach meet stakeholder expectations and do stakeholders have any suggestions on how AEMO could best engage with industry on the 2025 GPSRR?	Yes.

Kind Regards

Glenn Springall CPEng RPEQ NER

GM Renewables & Distributed Energy